

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,200	02/24/2004	Nobuyoshi Shimizu	15-047	9259	
23400	7590 05/09/2006		EXAMINER		
POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC			SLITERIS, JOSELYNN Y		
12040 SOUT SUITE 101	H LAKES DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, V	A 20191		3616		
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Commence	10/784,20	0	SHIMIZU, NOBUYOSHI					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Joselynn Y		3616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECEIVED IN THE MAILING INTERPRETATION OF THE MAILING OF THE MAILING INTERPRETATION OF THE MAILING INTERPRETATION OF THE MAILING INTERPRETATION OF THE MAILING OF THE MAILIN	NG DATE OF TH FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. period will apply and wi statute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
. 1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
′=	,—								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
·	8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
/.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	aton Application (PT)	U-132)						

Application/Control Number: 10/784,200 Page 2

Art Unit: 3616

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed and/or disclosed invention:

- I. a passenger protecting system according to Fig. 2; and
- II. a passenger protecting system according to Fig. 3.

The species are independent or distinct because Fig. 2 does not have the particulars of Fig. 3, which is the safeguard driving signal generating circuit being integrally formed with the driving integrated circuit.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to James Barlow (Reg. No. 32,377) on 5/2/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joselynn Y. Sliteris whose telephone number is 571-272-6675. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Thurs & Fri 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,200

Art Unit: 3616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul N. Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joselynn Y. Sliteris

Patent Examiner Art Unit 3616

JYS 5/2/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 4